## MINI-FOCUS ISSUE: EXERCISE AND HEART FAILURE

### STATE-OF-THE-ART PAPER

# Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Heart Failure



Rajeev Malhotra, MD,<sup>a</sup> Kristian Bakken, NP,<sup>b</sup> Emilia D'Elia, MD, PhD,<sup>c,d</sup> Gregory D. Lewis, MD<sup>a,b</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Exercise intolerance, indicated by dyspnea and fatigue during exertion, is a cardinal manifestation of heart failure (HF). Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) precisely defines maximum exercise capacity through measurement of peak oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>). Peak VO<sub>2</sub> values have a critical role in informing patient selection for advanced HF interventions such as heart transplantation and ventricular assist devices. Oxygen uptake and ventilatory patterns obtained during the submaximal portion of CPET are also valuable to recognize because of their ease of ascertainment during low-level exercise, relevance to ability to perform activities of daily living, independence from volitional effort, and strong relationship to prognosis in HF. The ability of peak VO<sub>2</sub> and other CPET variables to be measured reproducibly and to accurately reflect HF severity is increasingly recognized and endorsed by scientific statements. Integration of CPET with invasive hemodynamic monitoring and cardiac imaging during exercise provides comprehensive characterization of multisystem reserve capacity that can inform prognosis and the need for cardiac interventions. Here, we review both practical aspects of conducting CPETs in patients with HF for clinical and research purposes as well as interpretation of gas exchange patterns across the spectrum of preclinical HF to advanced HF. (J Am Coll Cardiol HF 2016;4:607-16) © 2016 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

n patients with heart failure (HF), the functional reserve capacity of the integrated metabolic machinery required to perform exercise is impaired at multiple levels. Starting with oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) uptake in the lungs, the requisite increase in ventilation is challenged by frequently abnormal lung mechanics and diffusing capacity. The need for increased convective O2 transport to skeletal muscle is limited by prevalent anemia as well as abnormal cardiac output (CO) augmentation arising from chronotropic incompetence, inability to augment ventricular contractility, and functional mitral regurgitation. Shortening of diastole during heart rate (HR) elevation and increased venous return can lead to sharp increases in filling pressures during exercise; impaired vasoreactivity further contributes to dynamic ventriculovascular uncoupling.

Upon delivery of  $O_2$  to the periphery, diffusive  $O_2$  conductance and utilization is limited by reduced capillary density, impaired sympatholysis, decreased mitochondrial volume, and selective loss of type 1 muscle fibers having oxidative fatigue-resistant properties (1). Finally, exaggerated ventilatory responses to exercise signaled through intramuscular afferents (i.e., ergoreflex signaling) are present in HF. It therefore comes as no surprise that exercise intolerance is the cardinal manifestation of HF. Careful measurement of ventilatory and  $O_2$  uptake patterns in HF can quantify disease severity and prognosis while shedding light on relative contributions of organ systems to exercise intolerance.

Manuscript received June 17, 2015; revised manuscript received February 26, 2016, accepted March 2, 2016.

From the <sup>a</sup>Cardiology Division, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; <sup>b</sup>Pulmonary and Critical Care Unit of the Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; <sup>c</sup>Cardiovascular Department, Hospital Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo Italy; and the <sup>d</sup>University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy. Dr. Malhotra is supported by the K08HL111210 grant of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) and the Wild Family Foundation; and also has received consultant fees from Mallinckrodt, Inc. Dr. Lewis is supported by the American Heart Association Award 15GPSGC24800006, NHLBI R01 HL131029-01, and the Hassenfeld Clinical Scholar Award; and has received research grant support from Abbott Vascular, Stealth Peptides, Biomarin, Pfizer, Shape Medical Systems, and Novartis. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

**CaO**<sub>2</sub> = arterial concentration of oxygen

CvO<sub>2</sub> = mixed venous concentration of oxygen

CavO<sub>2</sub> = (CaO<sub>2</sub> - CvO<sub>2</sub>) difference

**CPET** = cardiopulmonary exercise testing

**EOV** = exercise oscillatory ventilation

MRT = mean response time

OUES = oxygen uptake efficiency slope

**PAP** = pulmonary artery pressure

**PAWP** = pulmonary artery wedge pressure

**RER** = respiratory exchange ratio

VCO<sub>2</sub> = carbon dioxide output

VE = ventilation

VO<sub>2</sub> = oxygen uptake

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) provides breath-by-breath gas exchange measures of 3 variables:  $O_2$  uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>), carbon dioxide output (VCO<sub>2</sub>), and ventilation (V<sub>E</sub>). These 3 measures are used to derive various other gas exchange patterns that reflect organ-specific maladaptive responses to exercise, particularly when CPET is coupled with standard exercise variables (HR, blood pressure, electrocardiogram), cardiac imaging, and invasive hemodynamic measurements during exercise.

 Recent consensus statements and guideline documents have provided an overall summary of the utility of CPET (2-4). An approach to using CPET in patients with HF is provided in the Online Appendix. Here, we provide an overview on interpretation of CPET with a specific focus on the HF population. Table 1 summarizes the current clinical indications for performing CPET. Online Table 1 describes gas exchange patterns easily and reproducibly derived from noninvasive CPET, their physiologic relevance, and their clinical significance in HF.

## O2 UPTAKE VARIABLES

**PEAK VO<sub>2</sub>**. Measured VO<sub>2</sub> during a maximal symptom-limited CPET is the most objective method to assess functional capacity and consists of the following components (2):

 $Peak \ VO_2 = \ HR_{MAX} \times SV_{MAX} \times (CaO_2 \text{-} CvO_2)_{MAX}$ 

where SV is stroke volume, and  $(CaO_2 - CvO_2)$  is the net oxygen extraction of the peripheral tissues and is dependent on the hemoglobin concentration (Figure 1). Peak VO<sub>2</sub> is an important predictor of prognosis in HF patients (2). Mancini and colleagues (5) conducted a landmark study in 114 ambulatory patients with HF and reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF)

TABLE 1 Clinical Indications for Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing	
Clinical Scenario	Objective
Unexplained or multifactorial dyspnea/exercise intolerance	To define the organ system(s) limiting gas exchange
Established advanced cardiac or pulmonary disease	To grade severity of disease, prognosticate, and prioritize patients for heart transplantation and mechanical circulatory support
Valvular or congenital heart disease	To determine whether to intervene, particularly with cardiac surgical interventions, and to estimate perioperative risk
Initiation of an intervention (clinical trial)	To precisely evaluate the functional response to an intervention (i.e., change in peak oxygen uptake with a novel treatment)

that established a peak VO<sub>2</sub> cutoff of  $\leq 14$  ml/kg/min as a criterion for which 1-year survival was significantly lower than that achieved through transplantation (i.e., 70%). In contrast, individuals with a peak  $VO_2 > 14 \text{ ml}/$ kg/min had 6% 1-year mortality, suggesting that transplantation could be safely deferred in this subgroup of symptomatic HF patients. There was no difference in resting left ventricular ejection fraction or cardiac index between the groups. Multivariate analysis identified peak VO2 as the best predictor of survival in this HF population. Recent studies have demonstrated that peak VO<sub>2</sub> potently risk stratifies the contemporary HF (HFrEF and HF and preserved ejection fraction [HFpEF]) populations: Weber classes A, B, C, and D corresponding to peak  $VO_2 > 20$ , 16 to 20, 10 to 16, and <10 ml/kg/min was associated with 3-year transplant and mechanical circulatory support-free survival of 97%, 93%, 83%, and 64%, respectively (Central Illustration) (6). In the HF-ACTION (Heart Failure: A Controlled Trial Investigating Outcomes of Exercise Training) trial, of multiple CPET variables that were assessed, peak VO<sub>2</sub>, percent predicted peak VO<sub>2</sub>, and exercise duration had the strongest ability to predict mortality in HFrEF (7). Peak VO<sub>2</sub> retains its prognostic significance in HFrEF patients on betablockers (8,9) and when natriuretic peptides and other clinical variables are considered (10). Peak VO<sub>2</sub> is also an important predictor of mortality in HF patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction (HFpEF) (11,12).

Peak VO<sub>2</sub> is influenced by noncardiac factors such as age, gender, and muscle mass (13); therefore, it is appropriate to interpret peak VO<sub>2</sub> normalized to age, gender, and weight-based normative values (14). The Wasserman-Hansen percent-predicted equation offers optimal HF prognostication among peak VO<sub>2</sub> percent-predicted equations, with a peak  $VO_2 < 47\%$ of predicted serving as an optimal cutpoint for determining mortality risk in HF (15). Obesity reduces VO<sub>2</sub> in ml/kg/min out of proportion to HF severity and has led to examination of peak VO2 corrected for lean body mass (LBM), where LBM was defined as: actual body weight  $\times$  (1 – % body fat/100) in ml/min/kg of LBM. When corrected for LBM, a peak VO<sub>2</sub>  $\leq$ 19 ml/kg/ min outperformed standard peak VO<sub>2</sub> ≤14 ml/kg/min in predicting transplant-free survival within a HFrEF population with a 37% prevalence of obesity (as defined by body mass index [BMI]  $>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) (16).

SUBMAXIMAL O<sub>2</sub> UPTAKE MEASUREMENTS. Interestingly, among patients with HF, submaximal exercise gas exchange variables have emerged that rival or even exceed the prognostic utility of peak  $VO_2$  (17-19). Submaximal CPET variables (Online Table 1) are particularly attractive to study based on ease of



ascertainment during low-level exercise, relevance to ability to perform activities of daily living, independence from volitional exercise effort, and close relationship to prognosis in HF. We recently reported that O2 uptake kinetics, as measured by mean response time (MRT) (Online Table 1, Figure 2A), were only modestly related to peak VO<sub>2</sub> and more accurately reflected the ability to augment CO during low-level exercise, indicating its complementary role to peak VO<sub>2</sub> in signaling different aspects of cardiac reserve capacity (20). An MRT >60 s was related to reduced exercise right ventricular [RV] ejection fraction (RVEF) and increased transpulmonary gradient-CO slope, which supports the notion that MRT reflects RV-pulmonary vascular function during exercise (20). O<sub>2</sub> uptake efficiency slope (OUES) (Figure 2F), which is the relationship between VO<sub>2</sub> and log V<sub>E</sub> throughout exercise, is highly reproducible; differs by <2% if derived from 75%, 90%, or 100% of exercise duration; and outperformed peak VO<sub>2</sub> in a multivariate analysis of predictors of outcome in 243 HFrEF patients, conferring an ~2-fold increase in mortality at values <1.47 l/min (21).

 $VO_2$  at the ventilatory threshold (VT) is another measurement of  $O_2$  uptake that provides valuable information at submaximal exercise (Figure 2B). Gitt and colleagues demonstrated that a VT <11 ml/kg/min was associated with a 5.3-fold increased odds of death at 6 months in 223 patients with HFrEF (22). A plateau in the  $VO_2$ /HR (oxygen pulse) increment (23) indicates failure to augment the stroke volume-CavO<sub>2</sub> (CaO<sub>2</sub> -CvO<sub>2</sub>) product throughout exercise (Figure 2C). Assuming a linear increment in CavO<sub>2</sub> throughout exercise, this pattern suggests dynamic cardiac dysfunction and has been observed with inducible myocardial ischemia (24) as well as RV-pulmonary



vascular uncoupling (25). Aerobic efficiency describes the relationship of O<sub>2</sub> utilization to the amount of work performed (**Figure 2D**). A normal VO<sub>2</sub>-work rate relationship during the incremental ramp portion of CPET is 10  $\pm$  1.5 ml/min/W (26), with lower values being characteristic of HF with greater than usual dependence on anaerobic metabolism to perform the work of exercise.

Ascertainment of these submaximum  $O_2$  uptake parameters (Online Table 1) becomes particularly important in grading HF severity when patients fail to fulfill criteria for a maximum volitional effort study,



(A) The VO<sub>2</sub> onset kinetics panel (VO<sub>2</sub> vs. time at the beginning of exercise) shows the rise in VO<sub>2</sub> during onset of exercise (0-3 min), which reflects the ability of the cardiovascular system to augment cardiac output early in exercise. (MRT is 63% of the duration required to reach steady state VO<sub>2</sub>; lower MRT reflects a more responsive cardiovascular system.) Black squares = abnormal MRT of 71 s; green diamonds = normal MRT of 32 s. (B) Illustration of VCO2 vs. VO2 relationship used to derive the VT by the V-slope method. VO2 and VCO2 increase proportionately during early aerobic exercise. Upon onset of anaerobic metabolism and lactate buffering by bicarbonate, there is a disproportionate increase in VCO<sub>2</sub> relative to VO<sub>2</sub> that is responsible for the steeper slope of the VCO<sub>2</sub>-VO<sub>2</sub> relationship. The patient with the pattern illustrated by black squares has an earlier VT (less fit) than the patient illustrated by the green diamonds. (C) The ratio of VO2 and heart rate (termed oxygen pulse) is equal to the product of stroke volume and CavO<sub>2</sub> and most often reflects dynamic conditions that cause premature leveling or decrease in stroke volume in response to exercise (i.e., myocardial ischemia or ventriculovascular uncoupling). The normal response (green diamonds) is contrasted with an abnormal plateau pattern (black diamonds). (D) The aerobic efficiency panel reflects the relationship of utilization of oxygen (i.e., VO<sub>2</sub>) to amount of work performed. A normal VO<sub>2</sub>-work rate relationship during the incremental ramp portion of CPET is 10  $\pm$  1.5 ml/min/W. The more efficient individual (green diamonds) demonstrates higher peak VO<sub>2</sub> and VO<sub>2</sub>-work slope, whereas the less efficient individual (black squares) has a slightly lower VO2-work slope indicative of greater reliance on anaerobic metabolism to perform work throughout exercise. This figure also demonstrates the linear relationship that exists between VO<sub>2</sub> and work during incremental ramp CPET. (E) The V<sub>E</sub>/VCO<sub>2</sub> slope is a measure of the amount of ventilation required to exchange 1 l/min of CO<sub>2</sub>. It reflects ventilation-perfusion matching during exercise as well as neural reflexes controlling dyspnea and hyperventilation. The green diamonds reflect more efficient ventilation (V<sub>E</sub>/VCO<sub>2</sub> slope 22) in comparison to the black squares (V<sub>E</sub>/VCO<sub>2</sub> slope 33), indicative of less efficient ventilation. (F) OUES indicates the total amount of oxygen uptake for each equivalent of total ventilation ( $V_{\rm F}$ ). VO<sub>2</sub> increases in proportion to the logarithm of V<sub>E</sub>; a higher value reflects improved adaptation of the cardiopulmonary circuit to deliver oxygen for a given amount of ventilation. Representative patterns for a more efficient individual (green diamonds) and a less efficient individual (black squares) are depicted. CPET = cardiopulmonary exercise testing; O<sub>2</sub> = oxygen; OUES = oxygen uptake efficiency slope; MRT = mean response time;  $VCO_2 =$  carbon dioxide uptake;  $V_E =$  ventilation; VT = ventilatory threshold; other abbreviations as in Figure 1.

as indicated by a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) <1.0 to 1.1 (Central Illustration).

## VENTILATORY EFFICIENCY AND STABILITY DURING EXERCISE IN HF

The modified alveolar equation describes the determinants of  $V_E/VCO_2$  slope (27):

$$\frac{V_E}{VCO_2} \;=\; \frac{863}{(1\text{-}V_D/V_T) \times PaCO_2}$$

where  $V_D$  is dead space,  $V_T$  is tidal volume, and PaCO<sub>2</sub> is arterial CO<sub>2</sub> tension. High ventilatory drive in the

setting of pulmonary edema leads to reduced PaCO<sub>2</sub>, whereas lung hypoperfusion from RV dysfunction results in a worsening of VQ mismatch with elevated fractional dead space. Reduced PaCO<sub>2</sub> and increased fractional dead space, as seen in the previous equation for ventilatory efficiency, cause an abnormal elevation in  $V_E/VCO_2$  slope (Figures 2E and 3).

Our group showed that impaired ventilatory efficiency (high  $V_E/VCO_2$  slope) was associated with resting and exercise pulmonary vascular resistance and inversely associated with RVEF in HFrEF (28). Others have related  $V_E/VCO_2$  slope to lower tricuspid



annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) (29), reduced RV fractional area change, and impaired RV metabolism (30).  $V_E/VCO_2$  slope is a powerful predictor of events in HF patients (**Central Illustration**) (17,31,32). A  $V_E/VCO_2$  slope >34 to 36 identifies highrisk HF patients and provides prognostic information above and beyond peak  $VO_2$  (13,17).  $V_E/VCO_2$ slope as a continuous variable also predicted major cardiac events in 448 patients with chronic HF (HFrEF and HFpEF), with a particularly poor prognosis in those with a slope  $\geq$ 45 (17).

Periodic breathing is a form of irregular breathing characterized by regular cyclic variation of ventilation with a period of approximately 1 minute (**Figure 3**) (33). Periodic breathing, as described by Cheyne and Stokes in the resting state, has been recognized as a feature of HF for almost 2 centuries (34,35). Periodic breathing during exercise, termed exercise oscillatory ventilation (EOV), is present in a large percentage of HF patients (19,36,37). The presence of periodic breathing purports a poor prognosis, whether at rest (38), during sleep (39), or during exercise (EOV) (19,33,36).

A discussion of CPET-based multivariate models for determining prognosis in HF is provided in the Online Appendix.

CPET WITH INVASIVE HEMODYNAMIC MONITORING. CPET coupled with hemodynamic assessment using radial and pulmonary arterial catheters enables highly detailed patient phenotyping. First, arterial line placement permits accurate blood pressure assessment and oxyhemoglobin measurement, in contrast to cutaneous pulse oximetry probes that can yield misleading data resulting from probe displacement, peripheral vasoconstriction, or calloused skin (40). Second, simultaneous measurement of VO<sub>2</sub> and arterial as well as mixed venous blood gases during linear ramp exercise permits Fick CO derivation and evaluation of relative increases in each of the 3 components of VO2 (i.e., HR, SV, and arterio-venous oxygen content difference) (Figure 1). Two patients with HF and identical peak VO<sub>2</sub> values of 14 ml/kg/min may have markedly different levels of impairment in the reserve capacity of each Fick variable. We and others have observed significantly reduced peak exercise arterio-venous oxygen content difference in at least a subset (i.e., 40% in a recent study) of HFpEF patients (11,12), whereas other HFpEF patients are primarily limited by chronotropic incompetence or failure to augment stroke volume.

Hemodynamic measurements during exercise also provide incremental prognostic value to resting hemodynamic measurements. In patients with HFrEF, peak stroke work index (in which stroke work is defined as the product of mean arterial pressure and stroke volume) was the most powerful predictor of 1-year survival (41). Measurements of pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) and pulmonary artery wedge pressure patterns during exercise also independently predict outcomes in HFpEF (42) and HFrEF (43).

We recommend at least 4 measurements of PAP and pulmonary artery wedge pressure along with CO during incremental ramp testing to permit determination of accurate pressure-flow relationships during exercise. A mean PAP-flow relationship >3 mm Hg/l/min is increasingly recognized as a robust indicator of a pulmonary hypertensive response to exercise (44). Simultaneous measurement of invasive hemodynamics with PAP responses and gas exchange (i.e., peak VO<sub>2</sub> indicative of functional capacity) can significantly aid in decision making regarding surgical interventions for valvular or congenital heart diseases.

Invasive CPET has an emerging role in patient selection for left ventricular assist device (LVAD) implantation and potentially explantation. Invasive CPET probes the ability of the right ventricle to accommodate increased flow and to augment PAP and RV stroke work index throughout exercise. Failure of the right ventricle to progressively augment PAP (i.e., a PAP plateau indicative of RV-PA uncoupling) purports a poor prognosis in HFrEF (43). When coupled with a steep rise in right atrial pressure and a fall in RVEF, the finding of a PAP plateau suggests that the RV is unable to adequately accommodate increased blood flow to the right heart from the LVAD.

In addition to invasive hemodynamic monitoring, CPET can be combined with noninvasive cardiac imaging, and a discussion of this is provided in the Online Appendix.

**SYNOPSIS OF PRACTICAL APPROACH TO CPET INTERPRETATION IN PATIENTS WITH HF.** A synopsis approach to CPET interpretation in HFrEF is provided in the **Central Illustration**. Because VO<sub>2</sub> is the gold standard of cardiorespiratory fitness and is integral to cardiovascular health and functional capacity, assessment of VO<sub>2</sub> is central to CPET interpretation. Delineation of volitional effort level should determine whether to focus on peak VO<sub>2</sub> or on O<sub>2</sub> uptake parameters with values independent of volitional effort (e.g., OUES and VO<sub>2</sub> at the VT). Once stratified by O<sub>2</sub> uptake parameters, hemodynamic patterns such as failure to augment systolic blood pressure or slow HR recovery (with thresholds between 6 to 12 beats/min shown to incrementally predict HF outcomes) serve to further identify cardiac-specific abnormalities while risk stratifying the HF population. Ventilatory efficiency and stability reflect HF severity, with  $V_E/VCO_2$  slope in excess of 34 to 36 and the presence of EOV both consistently indicating 1-year mortality rates ≥20% (Central Illustration). Conversely, efficient ventilation without EOV, particularly with relatively preserved peak VO<sub>2</sub>, signals excellent event-free survival. An approach that integrates O2 uptake parameters, hemodynamic responses to exercise, and ventilatory efficiency and stability is what we recommend to risk stratify HF patients, particularly those with intermediate values of peak VO<sub>2</sub>.

CPET IN HF RESEARCH. In designing clinical trials, debate often arises regarding whether to assess functional capacity with CPET or 6-minute walk tests (6MWT). The 6MWT has the advantage of ease of administration and minimal cost. However, CPET, unlike 6MWT, permits assessment of the organ system limiting gas exchange. This is particularly relevant to HFpEF, which tends to occur in older individuals with comorbidities that can result in primary pulmonary mechanical or orthopedic limitations to exercise that obscure ascertainment of a treatment effect from a *cardiovascular* intervention. CPET also permits precise assessment of volitional effort by determining whether the RER exceeds 1.0 to 1.1 during exercise, indicating that a subject has surpassed his or her anaerobic threshold (14). Furthermore, peak VO<sub>2</sub>, unlike the 6MWT, has been shown to be immune to a training or familiarization effect with repeated measures in HF (45).

A direct association between improvement in peak  $VO_2$  and higher survival rates was observed in a study of ambulatory HFrEF patients listed for cardiac transplantation (46). One meta-analysis found that therapy-induced changes in peak  $VO_2$  in HF clinical trials did not uniformly predict the corresponding intervention's effect on mortality in larger phase 3 trials (47). However, trials in this analysis showing discordant effects of an intervention on

peak VO<sub>2</sub> and mortality often included fewer than 50 individuals undergoing CPET evaluation (47). In larger studies (i.e., >200 subjects) using peak VO<sub>2</sub> as an endpoint, concordant changes in peak VO<sub>2</sub> and mortality were apparent for interventions such as cardiac resynchronization therapy (+/+ for change in VO<sub>2</sub> and improvement in mortality, respectively) (48,49), isosorbide dinitrate/hydralazine (+/+) (50), and prazosin (-/-) (50). In the HF-ACTION Trial, for every 6% increase in peak VO<sub>2</sub> (~1 ml/kg/min), a 5% lower risk of mortality or hospitalization was observed (51). A notable exception is that small trials with beta-blockers in HFrEF (0/+) demonstrated neutral effects on peak VO2 (52,53) (likely resulting from negative chronotropic effects), yet beta-blockers clearly prolong survival in HFrEF. LVAD therapy may also represent an exception, in that studies looking at improvements in peak VO<sub>2</sub> post-implantation have yielded mixed results with small sample sizes (54,55). Furthermore, unlike changes in alternative trial endpoints such as circulating biomarkers or echocardiographic parameters, there is significant intrinsic value in improving exercise capacity for patients.

CPET is commonly used to characterize the physiologic effects of emerging therapies in HF. Treatments currently undergoing evaluation or recently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for HF, including ivabradine (56), intravenous iron (57), and inorganic nitrate (58), have all been shown to improve peak VO<sub>2</sub>. As with any measurement, CPET necessitates attention to detail with metabolic cart testing, uniformity across sites coordinated by a core laboratory, appropriate training of CPET laboratory staff, and willingness of subjects to comply with testing. Compliance with repeated maximum exercise testing may be a potential concern, particularly in advanced HF patients, but in a recent HFpEF trial, completion rates for CPET were similar to those for 6MWT and echocardiography at the final study visit (59).

#### **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

We envision an expanding role for CPET in evaluating patients with early stages of HF and conditions that predispose to HF. Population-based studies are currently planned to determine the capacity of CPET measurements to predict future cardiovascular disease, including HF. If CPET measurements are found to predict future HF in the community, they could expand the armamentarium used to evaluate HF risk beyond standard cardiovascular disease risk factors, which do not adequately capture or reflect "cardiac fitness." That CPET measures can now be measured with handheld devices in an office-based setting will further facilitate the routine use of CPET for initial and serial patient evaluations (60).

Recent studies have begun to combine CPET responses with assessment of circulating metabolites and microRNAs that are rapidly modulated by exercise (61,62). This circulating profile of metabolites and microRNAs during exercise may provide molecular signatures of acute adaptations to exercise that complement CPET and provide insights into early forms of HF and other cardiovascular disease.

Overall, CPET has become an established method for diagnosing cardiopulmonary diseases and their severity, providing prognostic information, gauging response to clinical therapy, and serving as a potential tool for assessing early states of disease to better identify and optimize therapeutic interventions.

**REPRINT REQUESTS AND CORRESPONDENCE:** Dr. Gregory D. Lewis, Heart Failure and Cardiac Transplantation Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Bigelow 800, 55 Fruit Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02114. E-mail: glewis@partners.org.

#### REFERENCES

**1.** Haykowsky MJ, Tomczak CR, Scott JM, Paterson DI, Kitzman DW. Determinants of exercise intolerance in patients with heart failure and reduced or preserved ejection fraction. J Appl Physiol 1985;2015(119):739-44.

**2.** Balady GJ, Arena R, Sietsema K, et al. Clinician's Guide to cardiopulmonary exercise testing in adults: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2010;122:191-225.

**3.** Corra U, Piepoli MF, Adamopoulos S, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing in systolic heart failure in 2014: the evolving prognostic role: a position paper from the committee on exercise physiology and training of the heart failure association of the ESC. Eur J Heart Fail 2014;16: 929-41.

4. Guazzi M, Adams V, Conraads V, et al. EACPR/ AHA scientific statement. Clinical recommendations for cardiopulmonary exercise testing data assessment in specific patient populations. Circulation 2012;126:2261-74.

**5.** Mancini DM, Eisen H, Kussmaul W, Mull R, Edmunds LH Jr., Wilson JR. Value of peak exercise oxygen consumption for optimal timing of cardiac transplantation in ambulatory patients with heart failure. Circulation 1991;83:778–86.

**6.** Ritt LE, Myers J, Stein R, et al. Additive prognostic value of a cardiopulmonary exercise test score in patients with heart failure and intermediate risk. International journal of cardiology 2015; 178:262–4.

**7.** Keteyian SJ, Patel M, Kraus WE, et al. Variables measured during cardiopulmonary exercise testing as predictors of mortality in chronic systolic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;67:780-9.

**8.** O'Neill JO, Young JB, Pothier CE, Lauer MS. Peak oxygen consumption as a predictor of death in patients with heart failure receiving betablockers. Circulation 2005;111:2313-8.

**9.** Peterson LR, Schechtman KB, Ewald GA, et al. The effect of beta-adrenergic blockers on the prognostic value of peak exercise oxygen uptake in patients with heart failure. J Heart Lung Transplant 2003;22:70-7.

**10.** Chyu J, Fonarow GC, Tseng CH, Horwich TB. Four-variable risk model in men and women with heart failure. Circ Heart Fail 2014;7:88-95.

**11.** Dhakal BP, Malhotra R, Murphy RM, et al. Mechanisms of exercise intolerance in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the role of abnormal peripheral oxygen extraction. Circ Heart Fail 2015;8:286-94.

**12.** Haykowsky MJ, Brubaker PH, John JM, Stewart KP, Morgan TM, Kitzman DW. Determinants of exercise intolerance in elderly heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:265-74.

**13.** Wasserman K. Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing and Cardiovascular Health. Armonk, NY: Futura Pub. Co., 2002.

**14.** Hansen JE, Sue DY, Wasserman K. Predicted values for clinical exercise testing. Am Rev Resp Dis 1984;129:S49-55.

**15.** Arena R, Myers J, Abella J, et al. Determining the preferred percent-predicted equation for peak oxygen consumption in patients with heart failure. Circ Heart Fail 2009;2:113-20.

**16.** Osman AF, Mehra MR, Lavie CJ, Nunez E, Milani RV. The incremental prognostic importance of body fat adjusted peak oxygen consumption in chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36: 2126-31.

**17.** Arena R, Myers J, Abella J, et al. Development of a ventilatory classification system in patients with heart failure. Circulation 2007;115:2410-7.

**18.** Brunner-La Rocca HP, Weilenmann D, Schalcher C, et al. Prognostic significance of oxygen uptake kinetics during low level exercise in patients with heart failure. Am J Cardiol 1999;84: 741-4.

**19.** Sun XG, Hansen JE, Beshai JF, Wasserman K. Oscillatory breathing and exercise gas exchange abnormalities prognosticate early mortality and morbidity in heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010; 55:1814-23.

**20.** Chatterjee NA, Murphy RM, Malhotra R, et al. Prolonged mean VO2 response time in systolic heart failure: an indicator of impaired right ventricular-pulmonary vascular function. Circ Heart Fail 2013;6:499-507.

**21.** Hollenberg M, Tager IB. Oxygen uptake efficiency slope: an index of exercise performance and cardiopulmonary reserve requiring only submaximal exercise. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:194–201.

**22.** Gitt AK, Wasserman K, Kilkowski C, et al. Exercise anaerobic threshold and ventilatory efficiency identify heart failure patients for high risk of early death. Circulation 2002;106:3079-84.

**23.** Lim JG, McAveney TJ, Fleg JL, et al. Oxygen pulse during exercise is related to resting systolic and diastolic left ventricular function in older persons with mild hypertension. Am Heart J 2005; 150:941–6.

**24.** Chaudhry S, Arena R, Wasserman K, et al. Exercise-induced myocardial ischemia detected by cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Am J Cardiol 2009;103:615-9.

**25.** Guazzi M, Naeije R, Arena R, et al. Echocardiography of right ventriculoarterial coupling combined with cardiopulmonary exercise testing to predict outcome in heart failure. Chest 2015;148: 226-34.

**26.** Tanabe Y, Nakagawa I, Ito E, Suzuki K. Hemodynamic basis of the reduced oxygen uptake relative to work rate during incremental exercise in patients with chronic heart failure. Int J Cardiol 2002;83:57–62.

**27.** Johnson RL Jr. Gas exchange efficiency in congestive heart failure. Circulation 2000;101: 2774-6.

**28.** Lewis GD, Shah RV, Pappagianopolas PP, Systrom DM, Semigran MJ. Determinants of ventilatory efficiency in heart failure: the role of right ventricular performance and pulmonary vascular tone. Circ Heart Fail 2008;1:227–33.

**29.** Methvin AB, Owens AT, Emmi AG, et al. Ventilatory inefficiency reflects right ventricular dysfunction in systolic heart failure. Chest 2011; 139:617-25.

**30.** Ukkonen H, Burwash IG, Dafoe W, et al. Is ventilatory efficiency (VE/VCO(2) slope) associated with right ventricular oxidative metabolism in patients with congestive heart failure? Eur J Heart Fail 2008;10:1117-22.

**31.** Jaussaud J, Aimable L, Douard H. The time for a new strong functional parameter in heart failure: the VE/VCO2 slope. Int J Cardiol 2011;147:189-90.

**32.** Cornelis J, Taeymans J, Hens W, Beckers P, Vrints C, Vissers D. Prognostic respiratory parameters in heart failure patients with and without exercise oscillatory ventilation—a systematic review and descriptive meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol 2015;182:476–86.

**33.** Dhakal BP, Murphy RM, Lewis GD. Exercise oscillatory ventilation in heart failure. Trends Cardiovasc Med 2012;22:185–91.

**34.** Cheyne J. A case of apoplexy in which the fleshy part of the heart was converted in fat. Dublin Hosp Rep 1818;2:216–9.

**35.** Stokes W. The Disease of the Heart and Aorta. Dublin: Hodges and Smith, 1854.

**36.** Corra U, Pistono M, Mezzani A, et al. Sleep and exertional periodic breathing in chronic heart failure: prognostic importance and interdependence. Circulation 2006;113:44-50.

**37.** Murphy RM, Shah RV, Malhotra R, et al. Exercise oscillatory ventilation in systolic heart failure: an indicator of impaired hemodynamic response to exercise. Circulation 2011;124: 1442-51.

**38.** Ponikowski P, Chua TP, Anker SD, et al. Peripheral chemoreceptor hypersensitivity: an ominous sign in patients with chronic heart failure. Circulation 2001:104:544–9.

**39.** Nilsson KR, Duscha BD, Hranitzky PM, Kraus WE. Chronic heart failure and exercise intolerance: the hemodynamic paradox. Curr Cardiol Rev 2008;4:92–100.

**40.** Ries AL, Fedullo PF, Clausen JL. Rapid changes in arterial blood gas levels after exercise in pulmonary patients. Chest 1983;83:454-6.

**41.** Metra M, Faggiano P, D'Aloia A, et al. Use of cardiopulmonary exercise testing with hemodynamic monitoring in the prognostic assessment of ambulatory patients with chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;33:943-50.

**42.** Dorfs S, Zeh W, Hochholzer W, et al. Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure during exercise and long-term mortality in patients with suspected heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur Heart J 2014;35:3103-12.

**43.** Lewis GD, Murphy RM, Shah RV, et al. Pulmonary vascular response patterns during exercise in left ventricular systolic dysfunction predict exercise capacity and outcomes. Circ Heart Fail 2011;4:276-85.

**44.** Lewis GD, Bossone E, Naeije R, et al. Pulmonary vascular hemodynamic response to exercise in cardiopulmonary diseases. Circulation 2013;128: 1470-9.

**45.** Bensimhon DR, Leifer ES, Ellis SJ, et al. Reproducibility of peak oxygen uptake and other cardiopulmonary exercise testing parameters in patients with heart failure (from the Heart Failure and A Controlled Trial Investigating Outcomes of exercise traiNing). Am J Cardiol 2008;102:712-7.

**46.** Stevenson LW, Steimle AE, Fonarow G, et al. Improvement in exercise capacity of candidates awaiting heart transplantation. J Am Coll Cardiol 1995;25:163-70.

47. Wessler BS, Kramer DG, Kelly JL, et al. Drug and device effects on peak oxygen consumption, 6-minute walk distance, and natriuretic peptides as predictors of therapeutic effects on mortality in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. Circ Heart Fail 2011;4:578-88.

**48.** Young JB, Abraham WT, Smith AL, et al. Combined cardiac resynchronization and implantable cardioversion defibrillation in advanced chronic heart failure: the MIRACLE ICD Trial. JAMA 2003;289:2685–94.

**49.** Higgins SL, Hummel JD, Niazi IK, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy for the treatment of heart failure in patients with intraventricular conduction delay and malignant ventricular tachyar-rhythmias. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42:1454-9.

**50.** Ziesche S, Cobb FR, Cohn JN, Johnson G, Tristani F. Hydralazine and isosorbide dinitrate combination improves exercise tolerance in heart failure. Results from V-HeFT I and V-HeFT II. The V-HeFT VA Cooperative Studies Group. Circulation 1993;87:VI56-64.

**51.** Swank AM, Horton J, Fleg JL, et al. Modest increase in peak VO2 is related to better clinical outcomes in chronic heart failure patients: results from heart failure and a controlled trial to investigate outcomes of exercise training. Circ Heart Fail 2012;5:579-85.

**52.** Krum H, Sackner-Bernstein JD, Goldsmith RL, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the long-term efficacy of carvedilol in patients with severe chronic heart failure. Circulation 1995; 92:1499-506.

**53.** Gullestad L, Manhenke C, Aarsland T, et al. Effect of metoprolol CR/XL on exercise tolerance in chronic heart failure—a substudy to the MERIT-HF trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2001;3:463–8. **54.** Dunlay SM, Allison TG, Pereira NL. Changes in cardiopulmonary exercise testing parameters following continuous flow left ventricular assist device implantation and heart transplantation. J Card Fail 2014;20:548-54.

**55.** Maybaum S, Mancini D, Xydas S, et al. Cardiac improvement during mechanical circulatory support: a prospective multicenter study of the LVAD Working Group. Circulation 2007;115: 2497-505.

**56.** Sarullo FM, Fazio G, Puccio D, et al. Impact of "off-label" use of ivabradine on exercise capacity, gas exchange, functional class, quality of life, and neurohormonal modulation in patients with ischemic chronic heart failure. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther 2010;15:349–55.

**57.** Okonko DO, Grzeslo A, Witkowski T, et al. Effect of intravenous iron sucrose on exercise tolerance in anemic and nonanemic patients with symptomatic chronic heart failure and iron deficiency FERRIC-HF: a randomized, controlled, observer-blinded trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51: 103-12.

**58.** Zamani P, Rawat D, Shiva-Kumar P, et al. Effect of inorganic nitrate on exercise capacity in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Circulation 2015;131:371-80.

**59.** Redfield MM, Chen HH, Borlaug BA, et al. Effect of phosphodiesterase-5 inhibition on exercise capacity and clinical status in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2013;309:1268-77.

**60.** Woods PR, Bailey KR, Wood CM, Johnson BD. Submaximal exercise gas exchange is an important prognostic tool to predict adverse outcomes in heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail 2011;13:303–10.

**61.** Lewis GD, Farrell L, Wood MJ, et al. Metabolic signatures of exercise in human plasma. Sci Transl Med 2010;2:33ra37.

**62.** Baggish AL, Hale A, Weiner RB, et al. Dynamic regulation of circulating microRNA during acute exhaustive exercise and sustained aerobic exercise training. J Physiol 2011;589:3983-94.

**63.** Osada N, Chaitman BR, Miller LW, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing identifies low risk patients with heart failure and severely impaired exercise capacity considered for heart transplantation. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;31:577-82.

**64.** Mancini D, LeJemtel T, Aaronson K. Peak VO(2): a simple yet enduring standard. Circulation 2000;101:1080-2.

**65.** Myers J, Arena R, Dewey F, et al. A cardiopulmonary exercise testing score for predicting outcomes in patients with heart failure. Am Heart J 2008; 156:1177-83.

**66.** Guazzi M, Raimondo R, Vicenzi M, et al. Exercise oscillatory ventilation may predict sudden cardiac death in heart failure patients. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:299-308.

**KEY WORDS** cardiopulmonary exercise testing, exercise physiology, heart failure, oxygen uptake, ventilatory efficiency

**APPENDIX** For supplemental material, references, and a table, please see the online version of this article.